Properties and Complexity of Fan-Planarity ⋆

Carla Binucci[1], Emilio Di Giacomo[1], Walter Didimo[1], Fabrizio Montecchiani[1],
Maurizio Patrignani[2], Ioannis G. Tollis[3]

[1] Università degli Studi di Perugia, Italy
{carla.binucci,emilio.digiacomo,
walter.didimo,fabrizio.montecchiani}@unipg.it
[2] Università Roma Tre, Italy
patrigna@dia.uniroma3.it
[3] Univ. of Crete and Institute of Computer Science-FORTH, Greece
tollis@ics.forth.gr

arXiv:1406.5299v1 [cs.CG] 20 Jun 2014

Abstract. In a *fan-planar drawing* of a graph an edge can cross only edges with a common end-vertex. Fan-planar drawings have been recently introduced by Kaufmann and Ueckerdt, who proved that every n-vertex fan-planar drawing has at most $5n - 10$ edges, and that this bound is tight for $n \geq 20$. We extend their result, both from the combinatorial and the algorithmic point of view. We prove tight bounds on the density of constrained versions of fan-planar drawings and study the relationship between fan-planarity and k-planarity. Furthermore, we prove that deciding whether a graph admits a fan-planar drawing in the variable embedding setting is NP-complete.

1 Introduction

There is a growing interest in the study of non-planar drawings of graphs with forbidden crossing configurations. The idea is to relax the planarity constraint by allowing edge crossings that do not affect too much the drawing readability. Among the most popular types of non-planar drawings studied so far we mention: *k-planar drawings*, in which an edge can have at most k crossings (see, e.g., [5,8,9,10,16,18,22,27,28,30,31,33]); *k-quasi-planar drawings*, which do not contain k mutually crossing edges (see, e.g., [1,3,4,15,24,34]); *RAC drawings*, where edges can cross only at right angles (see, e.g., [19] and [20] for a survey); *ACE$_\alpha$ drawings* [2] and *ACL$_\alpha$ drawings* [6,14,21], which are generalizations of RAC drawings; namely, in an ACE$_\alpha$ drawing edges can cross only at an angle that is *exactly* α ($\alpha \in (0, \pi/2]$), while in an ACL$_\alpha$ drawing edges can cross only at angles that are *at least* α (see also [20]); *fan-crossing free drawings*, where there cannot be an edge that crosses two other edges with a common end-vertex [11].

Given a desired type T of non-planar drawing with forbidden crossing configurations, a classical combinatorial problem is to establish bounds on the maximum number of edges that a drawing of type T can have; this problem is usually dubbed as a Turán-type problem, and several tight bounds have been proved for the types of drawings mentioned above, both for straight-line and for polyline edges (see, e.g., [1,2,4,10,11,18,19,21,24,31,34]). From the algorithmic point of view, the complexity of testing whether a graph G admits a drawing of type T is one of the most interesting. Also for this problem several results have been shown, both in the variable and in the fixed embedding setting (see, e.g., [8,12,13,26,27,30]).

In this paper we investigate *fan-planar drawings* of graphs, in which an edge cannot cross two independent edges, i.e., an edge can cross several edges provided that they have a common end-vertex. Fan-planar drawings have been recently introduced by Kaufmann and Ueckerdt [29]; they proved that every n-vertex graph without loops and multiple edges that admits a fan-planar drawing has at most $5n - 10$ edges, and that this bound is tight for $n \geq 20$. Fan-planar drawings are on the opposite side of fan-crossing free drawings mentioned above. Besides their intrinsic theoretical interest, we find that fan-planar drawings can be used as a basis for generating drawings with few edge crossings in a confluent drawing style (see, e.g., [17,23]). For example, Figure 1(a) shows a fan-planar drawing Γ with 12 crossings; Figure 1(b) shows a new drawing with just 3 crossings obtained from Γ by bundling crossing "fans".

We prove both combinatorial properties and complexity results related to fan-planar drawings of graphs. The main contributions of our work are as follows:

⋆ Research supported in part by the MIUR project AMANDA "Algorithmics for MAssive and Networked DAta", prot. 2012C4E3KT_001.



Fig. 1. (a) A fan-planar drawing with 12 crossings; (b) A confluent drawing with 3 crossings.

(i) We study the density of constrained versions of fan-planar drawings, namely *outer fan-planar drawings*, where all vertices must lie on the external boundary of the drawing (Section 3), and 2-*layer fan-planar drawings*, where vertices are placed on two distinct horizontal lines and edges must be vertically monotone lines. We prove tight bounds for the edge density of these drawings. More precisely, we show that n-vertex outer fan-planar drawings have at most $3n - 5$ edges and that this bound is tight for $n \geq 5$. Also, we prove that n-vertex 2-layer fan-planar drawings have at most $2n - 4$ edges and that this bound is also tight for $n \geq 3$. We remark that outer and 2-layer non-planar drawings have been previously studied in the 1-planarity setting [8,18,27] and in the RAC planarity setting [12,13].

(ii) Since general fan-planar drawings have at most $5n - 10$ edges and the same bound holds for 2-planar drawable graphs [31], we investigate the relationship between these two graph classes. More in general, we are able to prove that in fact for any $k \geq 2$ there exist graphs that are fan-planar drawable but not k-planar drawable, and vice-versa (Section 4).

(iii) Finally, exploiting some ingredients used for proving the previously mentioned results, we show that testing whether a graph admits a fan-planar drawing in the variable embedding setting is NP-complete (Section 5).

Preliminary definitions are given in Section 2. Conclusions and open problems can be found in Section 6.

2 Preliminary definitions and results

A *drawing* Γ of a graph G maps each vertex to a distinct point of the plane and each edge to a simple Jordan arc between the points corresponding to the end-vertices of the edge. For a subgraph G' of G, we denote by $\Gamma[G']$ the restriction of Γ to G'. Throughout the paper we consider only *simple graphs*, i.e., graphs with neither multiple edges nor self-loops; also we only consider *simple drawings*, i.e., drawings such that the arcs representing two edges have at most one point in common, which is either a common end-vertex or a common interior point where the two arcs properly cross each other.

For each vertex v of G, the set of edges incident to v is called the *fan of* v. Clearly, each edge (u, v) of G belongs to the fan of u and to the fan of v at the same time. Two edges that do not share a vertex are called *independent edges*; two independent edges always belong to distinct fans. A *fan-planar drawing* Γ of G, is a drawing of G such that: (a) no edge is crossed by two independent edges; (b) there are not two adjacent edges (u, v), (u, w) that cross an edge e from different "sides" while moving from u to v and from u to w. The forbidden configurations (a) and (b) are depicted in Figure 2(a) and Figure 2(b), respectively. Figures 2(c) and 2(d) show two allowed configurations of a fan-planar drawing. A *fan-planar graph* is a graph that admits a fan-planar drawing.

The following property is an immediate consequence of the definition of fan-planar drawings.

Property 1. A fan-planar drawing does not contain 3-mutually crossing edges.

Let Γ be a non-planar drawing of G; the *planar enhancement* Γ' of Γ is the drawing obtained from Γ by replacing each crossing point with a dummy vertex. The boundary of each face f' of Γ' consists of a sequence of real and dummy vertices; the connected region f of the plane that corresponds to f' in Γ consists of a sequence of vertices and crossing points. For simplicity we call f a *face* of Γ. The *outer face* of Γ is the face corresponding to the outer face of Γ'. A fan-planar drawing of G with all vertices on the outer face is called an *outer fan-planar drawing* of G.



Fig. 2. (a)-(b) Forbidden configurations in a fan-planar drawing: (b) Allowed configurations in a fan-planar drawing.

Observe that the configuration in Figure 2(b) cannot occur in a drawing with all vertices on the outer face; hence, a drawing is outer fan-planar if and only if all vertices are on the outer face and it does not contain an edge crossed by two independent edges. An *outer fan-planar graph* is a graph that admits an outer fan-planar drawing.

An outer fan-planar graph G is *maximal*, if no edge can be added to G without loosing the property that G remains outer fan-planar. An outer fan-planar graph G with n vertices is *maximally dense* if it has the maximum number of edges among all outer fan-planar graphs with n vertices. Notice that if G is maximally dense then it is also maximal, but not vice-versa. The following property holds:

Lemma 1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a maximal outer fan-planar graph and let Γ be an outer fan-planar drawing of G. The outer face of Γ does not contain crossing points, i.e., it consists of $|V|$ uncrossed edges.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that the outer face of Γ contains a crossing point c. Let u be the first vertex of G encountered while moving from c counterclockwise along the boundary of the outer face of Γ and let v be the first vertex of G encountered while moving from c clockwise along the boundary of the outer face of Γ. One can add to Γ a simple curve connecting u and v without crossing any other edge of Γ and so that u and v remain on the outer face, thus contradicting the hypothesis that G is maximal outer fan-planar. □

Given an outer fan-planar drawing Γ of a maximal outer fan-planar graph G, the edges of G that form the boundary of the outer face of Γ will be also called the *outer edges* of Γ.

A 2-*layer fan-planar drawing* is a fan-planar drawing such that: (i) each vertex is drawn on one of two distinct horizontal lines, called *layers*; (ii) each edge connects vertices of different layers and it is drawn as a vertical monotone curve. By definition, a 2-layer fan-planar drawing is also an outer fan-planar drawing. A 2-*layer fan-planar graph* is a graph that admits a 2-layer fan planar drawing.

3 Density of Outer and 2-layer Fan-planar Graphs

We first prove that an n-vertex outer fan-planar graph G has at most $3n - 5$ edges. Then we describe a family of outer fan-planar graphs with n vertices and exactly $3n - 5$ edges. These two results together give us a tight bound on the density of outer fan-planar graphs.

Let G be a graph and let Γ be a drawing of G. The *crossing graph* of Γ, denoted as $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$, is a graph having a vertex for each edge of G and an edge between any two vertices whose corresponding edges cross in Γ. A cycle of $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ of odd length will be called an *odd cycle* of $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$; similarly, an *even cycle* of $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ is a cycle of even length. In order to prove the $3n - 5$ upper bound, we can assume that G is a maximally dense outer fan-planar graph. We start by proving some interesting combinatorial properties of G related to the cycles of the crossing graph of G.

Lemma 2. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a maximal outer fan-planar graph with $n = |V|$ vertices and $m = |E|$ edges. Let Γ be an outer fan-planar drawing of G. If $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ does not have odd cycles then $m \leq 3n - 6$.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ does not contain odd cycles, then it is bipartite and its vertices can be partitioned into two independent sets W_1 and W_2. Since by Lemma 1 the outer edges of Γ are not crossed, they correspond to n isolated vertices in $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$. We can arbitrarily assign all these vertices to the same set, say W_1. Denote by E_i the set of edges of G

corresponding to the vertices of W_i ($i \in \{1, 2\}$). Clearly, E_1 and E_2 partition the set E. Since no two edges of E_i cross in Γ, then the two subgraphs $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ are outerplanar graphs, where $|E_1| \leq 2n - 3$ and $|E_2| \leq 2n - 3 - n$. Thus, $m = |E| = |E_1| + |E_2| \leq 3n - 6$. □

The next lemma shows that the length of any odd cycle of $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ is at most 5.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a maximally dense outer fan-planar graph with n vertices and let Γ be an outer fan-planar drawing of G. $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ does not contain odd cycles of length greater than 5.*

Proof. Let C be an odd cycle of length ℓ in $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$. Let $E(C) = \{e_0 = (u_0, v_0) \ldots, e_{\ell-1} = (u_{\ell-1}, v_{\ell-1})\}$ be the set of ℓ edges of G corresponding to the vertices of C, such that e_i crosses e_{i+1} for $i = 0, \ldots, l - 1$, where indices are taken modulo ℓ.

Recall that all vertices of G are on the outer face of Γ, which implies that the end-vertices of the edges in $E(C)$ are encountered in the following order when walking clockwise on the boundary of the outer face of Γ: u_i precedes v_{i-1} and v_i precedes u_{i+2} (see, e.g., Figure 3(a)). Furthermore, vertices v_i and u_{i+2} must coincide, for $i = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1$. Indeed, if v_i and u_{i+2} are distinct, for some $i = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1$, then edge e_{i+1} is crossed by two independent edges (i.e., e_i and e_{i+2}), which contradicts the hypothesis that Γ is fan-planar. See also Figure 3(a). Thus, we have that u_i precedes u_{i+1} while walking clockwise on the boundary of the outer face of Γ, for $i = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1$, as shown in Figure 3(b). Moreover, it can be seen that the edges in $E(C)$ are not crossed by any edge not in $E(C)$, as otherwise the drawing would not be fan-planar.



Fig. 3. Illustration for the proof of Lemma 3. (a) A set of edges $E(C)$ where $\ell = 7$. It is possible to see that the dashed edge e_4 is crossed by the two bold edges e_3 and e_5, which are independent edges if v_3 and u_5 do not coincide. (b) A set of edges $E(C)$ where $\ell = 7$, and where v_i and u_{i+2} always coincide, for $i = 0, \ldots, 7$.

Now, suppose by contradiction that ℓ is odd and greater than 5 (refer to Figure 3(b) for an illustration). Consider a vertex u_i, for some $i = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1$, and denote by $\overline{V}$ the set of vertices encountered between u_{i+3} and u_{i-3} while walking clockwise on the boundary of the outer face of Γ (including u_{i+3} and u_{i-3}). Vertex u_i cannot be adjacent to any vertex in $\overline{V}$. Namely, if an edge $e = (u_i, u_j)$ existed, for some $u_j \in \overline{V}$, then it would be crossed by the two independent edges e_{i-1} and e_{j-1}. Thus, removing e_{i-1} from Γ, one can suitably connect u_i to all the vertices in $\overline{V}$, still obtaining a fan-planar drawing Γ^* with n vertices. Since the size of $\overline{V}$ is $\ell - 5$, and since $\ell \geq 7$ by assumption, we have that Γ^* has at least two edges more than Γ, which contradicts the hypothesis that G is maximally dense. □

The following corollary is a consequence of Lemma 3 and Property 1.

Corollary 1. *Let G be a maximally dense outer fan-planar graph. Any odd cycle in the crossing graph of a fan-planar drawing of G has exactly length 5.*

The following lemma proves that odd cycles in the crossing graph always correspond to K_5 subgraphs in G.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a maximally dense outer fan-planar graph, and let Γ be an outer fan-planar drawing of G. If $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ contains a cycle C of length 5, then the subgraph of G induced by the end-vertices of the edges corresponding to the vertices of C is a K_5 graph.*

Proof. Let $E(C) = \{e_0 = (u_0, v_0), \ldots, e_4 = (u_4, v_4)\}$ be the set of 5 edges of G corresponding to the vertices of C, such that e_i crosses e_{i+1} for $i = 0, \ldots, 4$, where indices are taken modulo 5.

With the same argument used in the proof of Lemma 3, vertices v_i and u_{i+2} must coincide, for $i = 0, \ldots, 4$. It follows that u_i precedes u_{i+1} walking clockwise on the boundary of the outer face of Γ, and that u_i is connected to u_{i+2}, for $i = 0, \ldots, 4$. Moreover, u_i and u_{i+1} are connected by an edge, for $i = 0, \ldots, 4$. Indeed, if there is no vertex of G between u_i and u_{i+1} walking clockwise on the boundary of the outer face of Γ, for some $i = 0, \ldots, 4$, then the edge (u_i, u_{i+1}) can be added to Γ without creating any crossing and so that all vertices remain on the outer face. If there is a vertex of G between u_i and u_{i+1} walking clockwise on the boundary of the outer face of Γ then it is easy to see that this vertex cannot be linked to any vertex u_j distinct from u_i and u_{i+1}, because this would cause a forbidden crossing (two independent edges crossed by an edge); it follows that edge (u_i, u_{i+1}) can be still added without creating crossing and so that all vertices of G remain on the outer face. Hence, the subgraph induced by $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_4$ is K_5. □

The next lemma proves the upper bound on the density of outer fan-planar graphs.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a maximally dense outer fan-planar graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $m \leq 3n - 5$ edges.*

Proof. Let Γ be an outer fan-planar drawing of G. We first claim that G is biconnected. Suppose by contradiction that G is not biconnected, and let C_1 and C_2 be two distinct biconnected components of G that share a cut-vertex v. Let u be the first vertex of G encountered while moving from v clockwise on the boundary of the outer face of $\Gamma[C_1]$, and let w be the first vertex encountered while moving from v counterclockwise on the boundary of the outer face of $\Gamma[C_2]$. It is possible to suitably add an edge (u, w) in Γ, still getting an outer fan-planar drawing, which contradicts the hypothesis that G is maximally dense.

Now, by Corollary 1, $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ can only have either even cycles or cycles of length 5. Also, by Lemma 4, every cycle of length 5 in $\mathrm{CR}(\Gamma)$ corresponds to a subset of edges whose end-vertices induce K_5. We prove the statement by induction on the number h of subgraphs of G isomorphic to K_5.

Base Case. If $h = 0$ then, by Lemma 2, G has at most $3n - 6$ edges, hence the statement holds.

Inductive Case. Suppose by induction that the claim is true for $h \geq 0$, and suppose G contains $h + 1$ subgraphs isomorphic to K_5. Let G^* be one of these $h + 1$ subgraphs. Let $e = (u, v)$ be an edge on the outer face of $\Gamma[G^*]$ that is not on the outer face of Γ. Vertices u and v are a separation pair of G, otherwise there would be a vertex of G that is not on the outer face of Γ, which is impossible because Γ is an outer fan-planar drawing by hypothesis. Hence, we can split G into two biconnected subgraphs that share only edge e, one of them containing G^*.

Let $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$ ($k \leq 5$) be the biconnected subgraphs of G distinct from G^* such that each G_i shares exactly one edge with G^*. Each G_i ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$) contains at most h subgraphs isomorphic to K_5, and therefore it has at most $3n_i - 5$ edges by induction, where n_i denotes the number of vertices of G_i. On the other hand, G^* has $3n^* - 5 = 10$ edges, where $n^* = 5$ is the number of vertices of G^*. It follows that $m \leq 3(n^* + n_1 + \cdots + n_k) - 5(k + 1) - k$ ($k \leq 5$). Since $n^* + n_1 + \cdots + n_k \leq n + 2k$ we have $m \leq 3(n + 2k) - 5(k + 1) - k = 3n - 5$. □

The existence of an infinite family of outer fan-planar graphs that match the $3n - 5$ bound is proved in the next lemma. Refer to Figure 4 for an illustration.

Lemma 6. *For any integer $h \geq 1$ there exists an outer fan-planar graph G with $n = 3h + 2$ vertices and $m = 3n - 5$ edges.*

Proof. Consider h graphs $X_1, \ldots, X_h$, such that X_i is isomorphic to K_5, for $i = 1, \ldots, h$. We now describe how to construct G. The idea is to "glue" $X_1, \ldots, X_h$ together in such a way that they share single edges one to another. The proof is by induction on the number of merged graphs. Denote by G_i the graph obtained after merging $X_1, \ldots, X_i$, for $1 < i \leq h$. We prove by induction that G_i respects the following invariants: (I1) it is an outer fan-planar graph; (I2) it has $n_i = 3i + 2$ vertices and $m_i = 3n_i - 5$ edges. In the base case $i = 2$, we merge $G_1 = X_1$ to X_2 as follows. Pick



Fig. 4. Illustration for the proof of Lemma 6. (a) X_1 and X_2 before being merged. (b) Merging X_1 and X_2 into G_2. (c) G_i and X_{i+1}, the bold edges are used for merging.

an edge e on the outer face of X_1 and an edge e' on the outer face of X_2. Merge X_1 and X_2 by identifying the edge e with e', see also Figures 4(a) and 4(b). The new graph G_2 is clearly an outer fan-planar graph with $n_2 = 5+5-2 = 8$ vertices and $m_2 = 10 + 10 - 1 = 19$ edges. Thus, the two invariants hold.

In the inductive case, suppose we constructed G_i, for $2 < i < h$, and we want to attach X_{i+1} (see also Figure 4(c)). Pick any edge e on the outer face of G_i and any edge e' on the outer face of X_{i+1}. Merge the two graphs in the same way as done in the base case. It is immediate to see that (I1) holds. Also, $n_{i+1} = n_i + 3$ and $m_{i+1} = m_i + 9$. Since by induction $m_i = 3n_i - 5$, then $m_{i+1} = 3n_i - 5 + 9 = 3n_{i+1} - 5$. □

Lemma 5 and 6 imply the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *An outer fan-planar graph with n vertices has at most $3n - 5$ edges, and this bound is tight for $n \geq 5$.*

An obvious consequence of Theorem 1 and of the definition of outer fan-planar graphs that are maximally dense is the following fact.

Corollary 2. *Every maximally dense outer fan-planar graph with $n = 3h + 2$ vertices $(h \geq 1)$ has $3n - 5$ edges.*

Concerning 2-layer fan planar graphs, we already observed that a 2-layer fan planar graph G is an outer fan-planar graph. Also, since all vertices on the same layer form an independent set, graph G is bipartite. We prove the following.

Theorem 2. *A 2-layer fan-planar graph with n vertices has at most $2n - 4$ edges, and this bound is tight for $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let G be a maximally dense 2-layer fan-planar graph with n vertices and m edges, and let Γ be a 2-layer fan-planar drawing of G. Denote by $V_1 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{n_1}\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_{n_1+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ the two independent sets of vertices of G. Without loss of generality, suppose that in Γ we have v_i precedes v_{i+1} along the layer of V_1 (for $i = 1, \ldots, n_1 - 1$), and v_j precedes v_{j+1} along the layer of V_2 (for $j = n_1 + 1, \ldots, n - 1$). See Figure 5(a). Construct from G a super-graph G^*, by adding an edge (v_i, v_{i+1}), for $i = 1, \ldots, n_1 - 1$, and an edge (v_j, v_{j+1}), for $j = n_1 + 1, \ldots, n$ (see Figure 5(b)). Graph G^* is still outer fan-planar. Moreover, G does not contain a K_5 subgraph (because G is bipartite), and this implies that also G^* does not contain a K_5 subgraph, as otherwise at least three vertices of the same layer in G should form a 3-cycle in G^* (which does not happen by construction). Thus, by Lemma 3 and Property 1, the crossing graph of any outer fan-planar drawing of G^* contains only even cycles. Hence, denoted as m^* the number of edges of G^*, by Lemma 2 we have $m^* \leq 3n - 6$, and therefore $m = m^* - (n - 2) \leq 2n - 4$.

A family of 2-layer fan-planar graphs with $2n - 4$ edges is the family of the bipartite complete graphs $K_{2,n-2}$ (see Figure 5(c)). □



(a) (b) (c)

Fig. 5. Illustration of the proof of Theorem 2.

4 Fan-planar and k-planar Graphs

A *k-planar drawing* is a drawing where each edge is crossed at most k times, and a *k-planar graph* is a graph that admits a k-planar drawing. Clearly, every 1-planar graph is also a fan-planar graph. Also, both the maximum number of edges of fan-planar graphs [29] and the maximum number of edges of 2-planar graphs [31] have been shown to be $5n - 10$. Thus it is natural to ask what is the relationship between fan-planar graphs and 2-planar graphs and, more in general, what is the relationship between fan-planar and k-planar graphs for $k \geq 1$. In this section we show that there exist fan-planar graphs that are not k-planar, for every $k \geq 1$, and that there are k-planar graphs (for $k > 1$) that are not fan-planar.

The existence of fan-planar graphs that are not k-planar can be proved using a counting argument on the minimum number of crossings of graph drawings. The *crossing number* $cr(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest number of crossings required in any drawing of G.

Theorem 3. *For every integer $k \geq 1$ there exists a graph that is fan-planar but not k-planar.*

Proof. Consider the complete 3-partite graph $K_{1,3,h}$. It is easy to see that this graph is fan-planar for every $h \geq 1$ (see Figure 6(a)). It is known that $cr(K_{1,3,h}) = 2\left\lfloor \frac{h}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lfloor \frac{h-1}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lceil \frac{h}{2} \right\rceil$ [7,32]. If we choose $h = 4k + 2$, we have $cr(K_{1,3,4k+2}) = 2\left\lfloor \frac{4k+2}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lfloor \frac{4k+1}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lceil \frac{4k+2}{2} \right\rceil = 4k(2k + 1) + 2k + 1 = 8k^2 + 6k + 1$. Thus, in every drawing of $K_{1,3,4k+2}$ there are at least $8k^2 + 6k + 1$ crossings. On the other hand, in a k-planar drawing there can be at most $\frac{km}{2}$ crossings, where m is the number of edges in the drawing. Since $K_{1,3,4k+2}$ has $16k+11$ edges, in order to be k-planar it should admit a drawing with at most $\frac{km}{2} = \frac{k(16k+11)}{2} = 8k^2 + \frac{11}{2}k$ crossings. Since $6k + 1 > \frac{11}{2}k$ for every $k \geq 1$, $K_{1,3,4k+2}$ is not k-planar. □



(a) (b) (c)

Fig. 6. (a) A fan-planar drawing of $K_{1,3,h}$. (b) A fan-planar drawing of the K_7 graph. (c) The fragments of the fan-planar drawing in (a) are highlighted.

In order to prove that for any $k > 1$ there exist k-planar graphs that are not fan-planar (Theorem 4), we need to prove a preliminary technical result (Lemma 7), which will be also reused in Section 5.

Let Γ be a fan-planar drawing of a graph. We may regard crossed edges of Γ as composed by *fragments*, where a fragment is the portion of the edge that is between two consecutive crossings or between one of the two end-vertices of the edge and the first crossing encountered while moving along the edge towards the other end-vertex. An edge that is not crossed does not have any fragment. Figure 6(b) shows a fan-planar drawing of the K_7 graph and Figure 6(c) shows the fragments of the drawing in Figure 6(b). We consider two fragments *adjacent* if they share a common crossing or a common end-vertex. The following lemma shows an interesting property of the fragments of any fan-planar drawing of the K_7 graph.

Lemma 7. *In any fan-planar drawing of the K_7 graph, any pair of vertices is joined by a sequence of adjacent fragments.*

Proof. Consider a fan-planar drawing Γ of the K_7 graph and consider any vertex v_i of it. Vertex v_i must be incident to some fragment in Γ. Indeed, if vertex v_i had no incident fragment, all the edges incident to v_i were uncrossed in Γ, and removing v_i and all its incident edges from Γ would yield a fan-planar drawing of the K_6 graph where all vertices are on the same face; this would clearly imply the existence of a fan-planar drawing of K_6 where all vertices are on the outer face, i.e., an outer fan-planar drawing of K_6. This is however impossible by Lemma 5 (K_6 has 6 vertices and 15 edges, i.e., more than $3 \cdot 6 - 5$ edges). Since a fragment is originated by a crossed edge and since two crossing edges are not adjacent, we have that vertex v_i is linked by a sequence of fragments to at least other three distinct vertices. Therefore, the vertices of K_7 are linked by sequences of fragments in groups of at least four. Being seven vertices in total, this implies that all vertices of K_7 are linked together by sequences of fragments. □



Fig. 7. (a)–(c) Illustration for the proof of Theorem 4: (a) the graph G'; (b) the graph G''; (c) the graph G.

Theorem 4. *For every integer $k > 1$ there exists a graph that is k-planar but not fan-planar.*

Proof. Since every 2-planar graph is also a k-planar graph, for $k > 1$, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a 2-planar graph that is not fan-planar. Let G' be a graph consisting of a cycle $C = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{10})$ and the three edges (v_1, v_4), (v_5, v_{10}), and (v_6, v_9) (see Figure 7(a)). Let G'' be the graph obtained from G' by replacing each edge

(v_i, v_j) $(1 \leq i, j \leq 10)$ with a copy of the K_7 graph (whose vertices are denoted as $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_7$) so that $v_i = u_1$ and $v_j = u_7$ (see Figure 7(b)). The copy of K_7 that replaces (v_i, v_j) will be denoted as $K_7^{i,j}$. Let G be the graph obtained from G'' by adding the four edges (v_1, v_7), (v_2, v_6), (v_3, v_9), and (v_4, v_8) (see Figure 7(c)). Graph G is 2-planar. Namely, planarly embed G' as shown in Figure 7(a). Construct a drawing Γ of G by replacing each edge of G' with a drawing of $K_7^{i,j}$ like the one shown in Figure 6(b) (see Figure 7(b)), and draw the four edges (v_1, v_7), (v_2, v_6), (v_3, v_9), and (v_4, v_8) inside the cycle C as shown in Figure 7(c). Drawing Γ is clearly 2-planar.

We now prove that G is not fan-planar. Suppose by contradiction that G has a fan-planar drawing Γ. By Lemma 7, for each $K_7^{i,j}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq 10)$ there is a sequence of fragments leading from $v_i = u_1$ to $v_j = u_7$; call such a sequence of fragments the *spine* of $K_7^{i,j}$. Delete from Γ all fragments except those in the spine of each $K_7^{i,j}$; delete also all non-crossed edges and isolated vertices. The remaining drawing Γ' is a planar drawing, because each spine cannot be crossed by any other fragment or edge, otherwise the drawing is no longer fan-planar. We denote by C' the cycle of spines corresponding to C, by S the set of spines of $K_7^{1,4}$, $K_7^{5,10}$, and $K_7^{6,9}$, and by F the set of edges (v_1, v_7), (v_2, v_6), (v_3, v_9), and (v_4, v_8). Since Γ' is planar each spine in S is either inside C' or outside C' in Γ', and therefore in Γ. Furthermore, since the edges of F cannot cross the spine of any $K_7^{i,j}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq 10)$ in Γ, each of them must be either inside or outside C' in Γ. Given two elements of $S \cup F$ we say that they are on the *same side* of C' if they are both inside or both outside C' in Γ, otherwise we say that they are on *opposite sides* of C'. Since there cannot be a crossing between an element of F and one of S, each of the two edges (v_2, v_6) and (v_3, v_9) must be on the opposite side of C' with respect to $K_7^{1,4}$. Analogously, each of the two edges (v_1, v_7) and (v_4, v_8) must be on the opposite side of C' with respect to $K_7^{6,9}$. Finally, $K_7^{5,10}$ must be on the opposite side of C' with respect to (v_1, v_7), (v_2, v_6), (v_3, v_9), and (v_4, v_8). It follows that the spines of S and the edges of F must be on opposite sides of C', which implies that each edge in F is crossed by two independent edges (see Figure 7(c)), a contradiction. □

5 Complexity of the Fan-planarity Testing Problem

In this section, we exploit the results of Section 3 and Section 4 to prove that testing whether a graph is fan-planar in the variabile embedding setting is NP-complete. We simply refer to this problem as the *fan-planarity testing*. Our proof is based on a reduction from *1-planarity testing*, which is known to be NP-complete in the variable embedding setting [26,30]. The 1-planarity testing asks whether a given graph admits a 1-planar drawing. We prove the following.

Theorem 5. *Fan-planarity testing is NP-complete.*

Proof. First we prove that the problem is NP-hard and then we prove that it belongs to NP.

Given an instance $G = (V, E)$ of the 1-planarity testing we build an instance $G_f = (V_f, E_f)$ of the fan-planarity testing by replacing each edge $(u, v) \in E$ with two K_7 graphs with vertices $u = u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_7$ and $v = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_7$, called *attachment gadgets* and joined by a *spanning* edge (u_7, v_7). See Figure 8 for a schematic illustration of this reduction. $G_f = (V_f, E_f)$ can be constructed in polynomial time, having $|V_f| = |V| + |E| \times 12$ vertices and $|E_f| = |E| \times 43$ edges, where $|E| \times 42$ of them belong to the attachment gadgets and the remaining $|E|$ are spanning edges that join different attachment gadgets.

We now prove that G is 1-planar if and only if G_f is fan-planar. If G admits a 1-planar drawing, replace each edge (u, v) of G with two fan-planar drawings of K_7 like those depicted in Fig. 6(b) and with edge (u_7, v_7), in such a way that the possible crossing of (u, v) occurs on (u_7, v_7). The obtained drawing of G_f is fan-planar since each attachment gadget has a fan-planar drawing and each spanning edge has at most one crossing. Conversely, suppose G_f admits a fan-planar drawing Γ_f. By Lemma 7, for any attachment gadget of G_f attached to vertex u, there is at least a sequence of fragments leading from $u = u_1$ to u_7. As in the proof of Theorem 4, call such a sequence of fragments the *spine* of the attachment gadget. Delete from Γ_f all fragments except those in the spines. Delete from Γ_f all uncrossed edges except the spanning edges. Remove also isolated vertices. A drawing Γ of G is obtained, where the drawing of edge (u, v) is given by the spine from $u = u_1$ to u_7, the spanning edge (u_7, v_7), and the spine from v_7 to $v_1 = v$. Observe that, $u \neq v$, as otherwise there would be a self-loop in G. We claim that Γ is a 1-planar drawing of G. Indeed, fragments in the spines can not be crossed by any other fragment or spanning edge of Γ_f. It follows that spanning edges can cross only among themselves in Γ_f. However, they can cross only once, as they are a matching of G_f and Γ_f is fan-planar. Hence, Γ is a 1-planar drawing, but not necessarily simple; indeed, it may happen that two



Fig. 8. Illustration of the reduction in the proof of Theorem 5. (a) An instance G of 1-planarity testing; (b) The reduced instance G_f of fan-planarity testing. (c) Two edges of G that are adjacent to a common vertex u and such that they cross one to another in Γ. Such a crossing can be removed by rerouting the two edges as shown by the dashed paths.

crossing edges (u, v) and (w, z) in Γ share an end-vertex, say $u = w$ (this happens when in Γ_f there are two crossing spanning edges of two K_7 attached to u). However, the crossing between (u, v) and (u, z) in Γ can be easily removed by rerouting the two edges as shown in Fig. 8(c).

We now prove that the fan-planarity testing is in NP. A non-deterministic Turing machine can be devised to test if a graph G with n vertices and m edges admits a fan-planar drawing. The main strategy consists in exploiting non-determinism to explore all drawings of G with k crossings, where $0 \leq k \leq \binom{m}{2}$. Analogously to [25] a simple algorithm to test if a graph admits a fan-planar drawing with k crossings non-deterministically considers all possible k pairs of edges that cross (and the order in which crossings occur along edges involved in more than one crossing), discards the configurations where there is an edge that crosses more than one fan, replaces crossings with dummy vertices, and tests the obtained graph for planarity. □

6 Conclusions and Open Problems

We extended the study of fan-planar drawings started by Kaufmann and Ueckerdt [29]. We showed tight bounds on the density of constrained versions of fan-planar drawings and clarifed the relationship between fan-planarity and k-planarity. Also, we proved that the fan-planarity testing in the variable embedding setting is NP-complete. Several interesting problems remain open. We mention few of them, in addition to those already listed in [29]:

- What is the minimum number of edges of maximal fan-planar graphs?
- What is the complexity of deciding whether a graph with a given rotation system is fan-planar?
- Can we efficiently recognize maximally dense fan-planar graphs?

Acknowledgments

This work started at the Bertinoro Workshop on Graph Drawing 2014. We thank Michael Kaufmann and Torsten Ueckerdt for suggesting the study of fan-planar graphs during the workshop. We also thank all the participants of the workshop for the useful discussions on this topic.

References

1. Ackerman, E.: On the maximum number of edges in topological graphs with no four pairwise crossing edges. Discrete & Computational Geometry 41(3), 365–375 (2009)

2. Ackerman, E., Fulek, R., Tóth, C.D.: Graphs that admit polyline drawings with few crossing angles. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 26(1), 305–320 (2012)
3. Ackerman, E., Tardos, G.: On the maximum number of edges in quasi-planar graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 114(3), 563–571 (2007)
4. Agarwal, P.K., Aronov, B., Pach, J., Pollack, R., Sharir, M.: Quasi-planar graphs have a linear number of edges. Combinatorica 17(1), 1–9 (1997)
5. Alam, M.J., Brandenburg, F.J., Kobourov, S.G.: Straight-line grid drawings of 3-connected 1-planar graphs. In: Graph Drawing. LNCS, vol. 8242, pp. 83–94. Springer (2013)
6. Angelini, P., Di Battista, G., Didimo, W., Frati, F., Hong, S.H., Kaufmann, M., Liotta, G., Lubiw, A.: Large angle crossing drawings of planar graphs in subquadratic area. In: EGC. LNCS, vol. 7579, pp. 200–209. Springer (2011)
7. Asano, K.: The crossing number of $K_{1,3,n}$ and $K_{2,3,n}$. Journal of Graph Theory 10(1), 1–8 (1986)
8. Auer, C., Bachmaier, C., Brandenburg, F.J., Gleißner, A., Hanauer, K., Neuwirth, D., Reislhuber, J.: Recognizing outer 1-planar graphs in linear time. In: Graph Drawing. LNCS, vol. 8242, pp. 107–118. Springer (2013)
9. Auer, C., Brandenburg, F.J., Gleißner, A., Hanauer, K.: On sparse maximal 2-planar graphs. In: Graph Drawing. LNCS, vol. 7704, pp. 555–556. Springer (2012)
10. Brandenburg, F.J., Eppstein, D., Gleißner, A., Goodrich, M.T., Hanauer, K., Reislhuber, J.: On the density of maximal 1-planar graphs. In: Graph Drawing. LNCS, vol. 7704, pp. 327–338. Springer (2012)
11. Cheong, O., Har-Peled, S., Kim, H., Kim, H.S.: On the number of edges of fan-crossing free graphs. In: ISAAC. LNCS, vol. 8283, pp. 163–173 (2013)
12. Dehkordi, H.R., Eades, P.: Every outer-1-plane graph has a right angle crossing drawing. International Journal on Computational Geometry and Applications 22(6), 543–558 (2012)
13. Di Giacomo, E., Didimo, W., Eades, P., Liotta, G.: 2-layer right angle crossing drawings. Algorithmica 68(4), 954–997 (2014)
14. Di Giacomo, E., Didimo, W., Liotta, G., Meijer, H.: Area, curve complexity, and crossing resolution of non-planar graph drawings. Theory of Computing Systems 49(3), 565–575 (2011)
15. Di Giacomo, E., Didimo, W., Liotta, G., Montecchiani, F.: h-quasi planar drawings of bounded treewidth graphs in linear area. In: WG. LNCS, vol. 7551, pp. 91–102. Springer (2012)
16. Di Giacomo, E., Didimo, W., Liotta, G., Montecchiani, F.: Area requirement of graph drawings with few crossings per edge. Comput. Geom. 46(8), 909–916 (2013)
17. Dickerson, M., Eppstein, D., Goodrich, M.T., Meng, J.Y.: Confluent drawings: Visualizing non-planar diagrams in a planar way. Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications 9(1), 31–52 (2005)
18. Didimo, W.: Density of straight-line 1-planar graph drawings. Information Processing Letters 113(7), 236–240 (2013)
19. Didimo, W., Eades, P., Liotta, G.: Drawing graphs with right angle crossings. Theor. Comput. Sci. 412(39), 5156–5166 (2011)
20. Didimo, W., Liotta, G.: The crossing angle resolution in graph drawing. In: Pach, J. (ed.) Thirty Essays on Geometric Graph Theory. Springer (2012)
21. Dujmović, V., Gudmundsson, J., Morin, P., Wolle, T.: Notes on large angle crossing graphs. Chicago Journal on Theoretical Computer Science 2011 (2011)
22. Eades, P., Liotta, G.: Right angle crossing graphs and 1-planarity. Discrete Applied Mathematics 161(7-8), 961–969 (2013)
23. Eppstein, D., Goodrich, M.T., Meng, J.Y.: Confluent layered drawings. Algorithmica 47(4), 439–452 (2007)
24. Fox, J., Pach, J., Suk, A.: The number of edges in k-quasi-planar graphs. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 27(1), 550–561 (2013)
25. Garey, M.R., Johnson, D.S.: Crossing number is NP-complete. SIAM Journal of Algebraic Discrete Methods 4(3), 312–316 (1983)
26. Grigoriev, A., Bodlaender, H.L.: Algorithms for graphs embeddable with few crossings per edge. Algorithmica 49(1), 1–11 (2007)
27. Hong, S.H., Eades, P., Katoh, N., Liotta, G., Schweitzer, P., Suzuki, Y.: A linear-time algorithm for testing outer-1-planarity. In: Graph Drawing. LNCS, vol. 8242, pp. 71–82. Springer (2013)
28. Hong, S.H., Eades, P., Liotta, G., Poon, S.H.: Fáry's theorem for 1-planar graphs. In: COCOON. LNCS, vol. 7434, pp. 335–346. Springer (2012)
29. Kaufmann, M., Ueckerdt, T.: The density of fan-planar graphs. CoRR abs/1403.6184 (2014), http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.6184
30. Korzhik, V.P., Mohar, B.: Minimal obstructions for 1-immersions and hardness of 1-planarity testing. Journal of Graph Theory 72(1), 30–71 (2013)
31. Pach, J., Tóth, G.: Graphs drawn with few crossings per edge. Combinatorica 17(3), 427–439 (1997)
32. Schaefer, M.: The graph crossing number and its variants: A survey. Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 20(2) (2013), http://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/DS21, dS21
33. Suzuki, Y.: Re-embeddings of maximum 1-planar graphs. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 24(4), 1527–1540 (2010)
34. Valtr, P.: On geometric graphs with no k pairwise parallel edges. Discrete & Computational Geometry 19(3), 461–469 (1998)